

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/668,068 ; 09/667,525 ; 09/679,116 OSHIMA ET AL.

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Amanda T Le

Art Unit

2634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amanda T Le.

(3) MR. IIDA (5) MR. MIURA

(2) JEFF FILIPEK 41471

(4) MR. SEKI (6) MR. KANNO

Date of Interview: 5/27/04

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: SEE ATTACHED SHEET

Identification of prior art discussed: SEE ATTACHED SHEET

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: SEE ATTACHED SHEET

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Amanda T Le

Examiner's signature, if required

09/667525

MARCH 27, 2004 INTERVIEW

CLAIMS DISCUSSED: PROPOSED 19, 21, & 27 ATTACHED

W/ EXR. AMANDA LE

APPLICANTS POINTED OUT SUPPORT FOR CLAIMS TO OVERCOME 112, 1ST REJECTION, I.E.,

FIGS 61, 62, 84, 174, COLUMNS 47, 48, 57, 58, 59.

09/668068

CLAIMS DISCUSSED: PENDING CLAIM 19

PROR ART DISCUSSED: FARIAS 4891806 & OOI 5007088

APPLICANTS ARGUED THAT COMBINATION OF FARIAS & OOI DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OBVIOUS COMBINATION THAT WOULD RESULT IN THE CLAIMED INVENTION INCLUDING M & N LEVEL USB MODULATED SIGNALS, ~~TECH~~ IS ENCODING N LEVEL, UNIQUE WORD FOR SYNCH. ALSO ARGUED THAT FARIAS (2 DIFFERENT MODULATIONS) AND OOI (UNIQUE WORD) TECH AWAY FROM EACH OTHER.

09/672946

CLAIMS DISCUSSED: PROPOSED CLAIM 40

APPLICANT POINTED OUT SUPPORT IS FOUND IN FIGURES 28, 84 & 174.

& WILL PROVIDE MORE DETAILS IN THE RESPONSE.